



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FIL	JING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	T	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	-
10/046,404 01/14/2002		1/14/2002	Bernard M. Werner		HI03027USU P02017US 2074		
	7590	11/17/2004			EXAM	INER	7
JENNIFER H. HAMMOND					DABNEY, PHYLESHA LARVINIA		
THE ECLIPS	E GROUI	P		_			_
10453 RAINTREE LANE					ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
NORTHRIDGE, CA 91326				_	2643		

DATE MAILED: 11/17/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Advisory Action	10/046,404	WERNER, BERNARD M.
, and a second	Examiner	Art Unit
	Phylesha L Dabney	2643
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address
THE REPLY FILED 23 September 2004 FAILS TO PLA Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this appliced in a timely filed amendment whi	cation. A proper reply to a chiplaces the application in
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]	
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing of		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adv event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The dathave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extens 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three mother earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date or FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THI te on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 sion and the corresponding amount of the statutory period for reply originally set in	f the final rejection. E FINAL·REJECTION. See MPEP 36(a) and the appropriate extension fee fee. The appropriate extension fee under the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR	s Brief must be filed within the p R 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal	period set forth in of the appeal.
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:	
(a) \(\square\) they raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);
(b) \square they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	pelow);	
(c) they are not deemed to place the application i issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mat	erially reducing or simplifying the
(d) 🗌 they present additional claims without cancel	ing a corresponding number of	finally rejected claims.
NOTE:		
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection	tion(s):	
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a s	eparate, timely filed amendment
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request fo application in condition for allowance because: See	r reconsideration has been cons e Continuation Sheet.	sidered but does NOT place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaused by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which were newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we		
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		
Claim(s) allowed:		
Claim(s) objected to:		
Claim(s) rejected:		
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) app	roved or b) disapproved by	the Examiner.
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme.	nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	
10. ☐ Other:	CUBHS KT SUPERVISORY PATE TECHNOLOGY CE	IYI EXAMINER

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: as stated in the Final Rejection mailed on 23 July 2004, the additional word "convex" included in the specification changes the scope of the original definition of "least energy surface" which stated that the curves would include, for example convergent-divergent and ellisoidal curves in addition control curves curving outward in a convex shape In the event new matter is added to the specification which affects the interpretation of the claim language, it is proper to extend both a specification objection and 35 USC 112 1st new matter rejection (MPEP 706.03(o)]. Since the amended specification including the new wording was not entered and the original definition of least energy surface does not include or exclude any curve structure, then the Levy reference still applies.